



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,724	07/18/2003	Michael A. Needham	40746.001	2937	
7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Department DEWITT ROSS & STEVENS S.C. US Bank Building 8000 Exelsior Drive Suite 401 Madison, WI 53717-1914			ROWAN, KURT C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643		
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	<del></del>							
		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/6	22,724	NEEDHAM, MICHAEL A.				
	Office Action Summary	Exam	niner	Art Unit				
			Rowan	3643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNISIONS of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the toreply within the set or extended period for repleply received by the Office later than three months dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within th tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause th	no event, however, may a reply be tir e statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>11 June 20</u>	<u>04</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 9-20 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers		•					
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected.	e: a) ☐ accepted of ection to the drawing g the correction is re	g(s) be held in abeyance. Se equired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation and the enternation of the attached detailed Office action of the certified copies application from the Internation of the second of a claim of the foreign lands of the first second of the first seco	documents have documents have for the priority documents have and the priority document on for a list of the for domestic priority do in the first sentenguage provision for domestic priority.	been received. been received in Applicat cuments have been received. Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not receive ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ence of the specification of all application has been received under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  r in an Application Data Sheet.  ceived.  and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Foster.
- 3. The patent to Foster shows a fishing tool having shaft, a handle end with a grip 80, several base arms (not labeled but part of U-shaped handle 62) provided thereon and being spaced from a corresponding strap cleat 52, 52 on the shaft whereby a container having a base supported on the base arm may be secured to the shaft by a strap extending through the strap cleat. Foster shows a plurality of different tips in Figs. 4, 5, and 9. In reference to claim 1, Foster shows hooks (not labeled) attached to a base arm as shown in figs. 7 and 8. The hooks are directly through the elongate body 36 from handle 62 (on the bottom) and are probably the attachment means for the handle. They show structure capable of acting as a hook and are integrally attached to the shaft 36. In reference to claim 6, Foster shows the tool may be used for measuring purposes noting Fig. 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,724 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster.

The patent to Foster shows a fishing tool as discussed above. In reference to claims 7-8, Foster does not disclose the size of the tool being three to five feet tall and having a circumference of about 2 inches, but it would have been obvious to employ a tool of the recited sizes since the exact size would be determined through routine experimentation. Also, see In re Rose, 105 USPQ 137.

3. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Wesson.

The patent to Foster shows a fishing tool as discussed above and shows a plurality of tips such as a gaff tip, a pick tip, a base tip, but does not shows the tip having an interior pocket to receive the tip end of the shaft therein. Foster shows a threaded tip 46 being received in to the threaded 44 interior of the shaft in Figs. 3-4. The patent to Wesson shows a fishing tool having a plurality of tips in Figs. 4, 7-12, each that have an interior pocket such as 48 in fig. 4 adapted to receive the tip end 32-33 of the shaft. In

Application/Control Number: 10/622,724

Art Unit: 3643

reference to claims 2-5, it would have been obvious to provide Foster with tips having interior pockets as shown by Wesson since merely one tip and tip end structure is being substituted for another and no stated problem is solved. The function is also the same.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9-20 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3643

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is 703 308-2321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703 308-2574. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kurt Rowan

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 3643

KR